

NEAPS/ BSE ONLINE

7th August, 2020

**The Corporate Relationship Department
BSE Ltd.**

**Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers
1st Floor, New Trading Ring
Rotunda, Dalal Street,
Mumbai - 400 001**

**The Secretary,
National Stock Exchange of India Ltd.,
Exchange Plaza, 5th Floor,
Plot No. C/1, G-Block
Bandra-Kurla Complex,
Bandra (E), Mumbai - 400 051**

Dear Sir/Madam,

Sub: Intimation regarding newspaper publication for prior intimation of the 3rd Annual General Meeting of the Company to be held through Video Conferencing (“VC”)/Other Audio Visual Means (“OAVM”)

Pursuant to Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, please find enclosed herewith copies of the newspaper advertisement published in Financial Express (English newspaper) and Ek din (regional newspaper) on Friday, 7th August, 2020, in accordance with provisions of Circular No. 20/2020 dated 5th May, 2020 and other relevant circulars issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, intimating the Members that the 3rd Annual General Meeting (“AGM”) of Somany Home Innovation Limited is scheduled to be held on Thursday, 3rd September, 2020 at 12.00 noon (IST) through VC/OAVM.

The Notice of the AGM and Annual Report for the Financial Year 2019-20 will be circulated to the Members of the Company through electronic mode in due course and will also be available on the website of the Company i.e. www.shilgroup.com.

You are requested to take this information on records.

For SOMANY HOME INNOVATION LIMITED



**(Payal M. Puri)
Company Secretary and V.P. Group General Counsel**

Name: Payal M. Puri
Address: 301-302, 3rd Floor, Park Centra, Sector-30, Gurugram-122001
Membership No.: 16068

Somany Home Innovation Limited

Corporate Office: 37 B, Echelon Institutional Area, Sector-32, Gurugram, Haryana-122 001, India. T. +91 124 2889300
Registered Office: 2, Red Cross Place, Kolkata-700001, West Bengal, India. T. +91 33-22487407/5668

wecare@hindware.co.in | www.shilgroup.com | CIN: U74999WB2017PLC222970

hindware
KITCHEN ENSEMBLE

hindware
atlantic

hindware
SNOWCREST

EVOK
HOME WITH YOU
by hindware

moonbow
by hindware

Italian expertise since 1947
FEV
FORMENTI &
GOLENZIA
Powered By
hindware

SC to hear on Aug 20 Mallya plea on transfer of \$40 m to his children

FE FE
New Delhi, August 6

THE SUPREME COURT on Thursday said it will take up on August 20 the review petition filed by businessman Vijay Mallya against its 2017 order that held him guilty of contempt of court for transferring a \$40-million payment from Diageo to his three children.

A bench led by Justice UU Lalit gave time till August 20 to Mallya's counsel Lalit Munshi to "enable him to place on record copy of his reply of January 30, 2017."

While the apex court had directed Mallya twice – in April and October 2016 – to disclose all assets held by him and his family, Mallya had then claimed that banks had already recovered ₹2,194 crore from defunct Kingfisher.



The SC had in June directed its registry to explain as to why Mallya's review petition had not been listed for the last three years

Airlines since 2013. The SC had questioned Mallya why he transferred the payout.

The top court had in June directed its registry to explain as to why Mallya's review petition had not been listed for the

last three years and also give names of the officials dealing with the matter. The SC had on May 10, 2017 held IIL Group chairman Vijay Mallya guilty of contempt of court, and asked him to appear before the court on July 10 that year. "We give him an opportunity to be present in court personally while deciding on quantum of punishment," the court ordered.

The order was then passed on a plea by the SBI-led consortium seeking disgorgement of over ₹9,000 crore owed by Mallya's defunct carrier Kingfisher Airlines. The lenders alleged that Mallya had disobeyed court orders by making "vague and unclear disclosure of his assets" by transferring ₹40 million to his children/wards US citizens and sole beneficiaries of the trusts and also ignoring summonses to appear in court.

Nirav Modi further remanded in custody until August 27; trial begins in September

PRESS TRUST OF INDIA
London, August 6

FUGITIVE DIAMOND MERCHANT Nirav Modi, fighting extradition to India, was on Thursday further remanded in custody until August 27 after appearing before a magistrate remand hearing before a UK court on charges over the nearly \$2-billion Punjab National Bank (PNB) fraud and money laundering case.

The 49-year-old jeweller, who has been lodged at Wandsworth Prison in south-west London since his arrest in March last year, appeared via video-link before District Judge Vanessa Baraitser at Westminster Magistrates' Court in London for a regular 28-day call-over hearing. He was told this next hearing in the case will be a case management hearing ahead of a trial set for five days from September 7. You will appear via video-link again. Your lawyers may be present in court," said Baraitser, in reference to the party not setting in operation across UK courts since the lockdown.

In May, District Judge Samuel Goosie presided over the first part of Modi's extradition trial, held in a partial remote settings, with the second part scheduled between September 7 and 11. Besides completing the arguments on establishing a prima facie case against Modi, the trial next month will decide a second extradition request, made by the Indian authorities and cer-



Identified by UK home secretary Priti Patel earlier this year

The second request brings two additional charges against Modi of "causing the disappearance of evidence" and intimidating witnesses or "criminal intimidation" to cause death.

Judge Goosie has already indicated that the two requests are "inextricably linked" and therefore they should be handled down as overall judgment at the conclusion of the second trial in September.

"Please Mr Modi, if you like we get to September, the current restriction movement from persons have been eased and you can be in court in person to follow the proceedings?" the judge had told Modi, at the end of a four-day partial hearing of the case on May 14.

Modi had been following the court proceedings from a room at Wandsworth Prison and could be seen taking notes during the course of the trial.

The charges against the diamond merchant centre around his firms Diamonx R Us, Solar Exports and Stellar Diamonds making fraudulent use of a credit facility offered

Bank fraud case: ED raids former JK minister's son

PRESS TRUST OF INDIA
New Delhi, August 6

ted forged certificates and bills to the bank.

In a charge sheet filed before special court in Jammu and J&K, the branch heads of J&K Bank, New University Campus branch, Iqbal Singh and Arun Kapoor apart from Hilal Rather.

Detailing alleged omission on part of bank officials, the ED had said they "did not verify certificates and helped Rather in siphoning off the loan amount and laundering of money."

The I-T Department too had raised him in June last year on charges of offence evasion.

During the search, it was detected that the tax evader of certifying to Hilal Rather's group has been giving illegal benefit of ₹60 crore by J&K Bank. His outstanding loan of ₹190 crore was settled at ₹120 crore, although he did not deserve any concession treatment." In the Central Board of Direct Taxes had then said in a statement.

The CBI has alleged that Hilal Rather entered into a criminal conspiracy with the then officials of the Jammu and Kashmir Bank to get loans of about ₹17.76 crore in illegal diversion of rules and guidelines.

His father, a former member of the Legislative Assembly of Jammu and Kashmir, is a National Conference (NC) leader. The loans that were sanctioned for construction of flats were allegedly diverted and misappropriated by the accused using the bank account of his employees, the CBI had alleged.

It was further alleged by the CBI that the accused submitted forged certificates and bills to the bank.

Financial Express

last three years and also give names of the officials dealing with the matter. The SC had on May 10, 2017 held IIL Group chairman Vijay Mallya guilty of contempt of court, and asked him to appear before the court on July 10 that year. "We give him an opportunity to be present in court personally while deciding on quantum of punishment," the court ordered.

The order was then passed on a plea by the SBI-led consortium seeking disgorgement of over ₹9,000 crore owed by Mallya's defunct carrier Kingfisher Airlines. The lenders alleged that Mallya had disobeyed court orders by making "vague and unclear disclosure of his assets" by transferring ₹40 million to his children/wards US citizens and sole beneficiaries of the trusts and also ignoring summonses to appear in court.

Airlines since 2013. The SC had questioned Mallya why he transferred the payout.

The top court had in June directed its registry to explain as to why Mallya's review petition had not been listed for the

last three years and also give names of the officials dealing with the matter. The SC had on May 10, 2017 held IIL Group chairman Vijay Mallya guilty of contempt of court, and asked him to appear before the court on July 10 that year. "We give him an opportunity to be present in court personally while deciding on quantum of punishment," the court ordered.

The order was then passed on a plea by the SBI-led consortium seeking disgorgement of over ₹9,000 crore owed by Mallya's defunct carrier Kingfisher Airlines. The lenders alleged that Mallya had disobeyed court orders by making "vague and unclear disclosure of his assets" by transferring ₹40 million to his children/wards US citizens and sole beneficiaries of the trusts and also ignoring summonses to appear in court.

Airlines since 2013. The SC had questioned Mallya why he transferred the payout.

The top court had in June directed its registry to explain as to why Mallya's review petition had not been listed for the

last three years and also give names of the officials dealing with the matter. The SC had on May 10, 2017 held IIL Group chairman Vijay Mallya guilty of contempt of court, and asked him to appear before the court on July 10 that year. "We give him an opportunity to be present in court personally while deciding on quantum of punishment," the court ordered.

The order was then passed on a plea by the SBI-led consortium seeking disgorgement of over ₹9,000 crore owed by Mallya's defunct carrier Kingfisher Airlines. The lenders alleged that Mallya had disobeyed court orders by making "vague and unclear disclosure of his assets" by transferring ₹40 million to his children/wards US citizens and sole beneficiaries of the trusts and also ignoring summonses to appear in court.

Airlines since 2013. The SC had questioned Mallya why he transferred the payout.

The top court had in June directed its registry to explain as to why Mallya's review petition had not been listed for the

last three years and also give names of the officials dealing with the matter. The SC had on May 10, 2017 held IIL Group chairman Vijay Mallya guilty of contempt of court, and asked him to appear before the court on July 10 that year. "We give him an opportunity to be present in court personally while deciding on quantum of punishment," the court ordered.

The order was then passed on a plea by the SBI-led consortium seeking disgorgement of over ₹9,000 crore owed by Mallya's defunct carrier Kingfisher Airlines. The lenders alleged that Mallya had disobeyed court orders by making "vague and unclear disclosure of his assets" by transferring ₹40 million to his children/wards US citizens and sole beneficiaries of the trusts and also ignoring summonses to appear in court.

Airlines since 2013. The SC had questioned Mallya why he transferred the payout.

The top court had in June directed its registry to explain as to why Mallya's review petition had not been listed for the

last three years and also give names of the officials dealing with the matter. The SC had on May 10, 2017 held IIL Group chairman Vijay Mallya guilty of contempt of court, and asked him to appear before the court on July 10 that year. "We give him an opportunity to be present in court personally while deciding on quantum of punishment," the court ordered.

The order was then passed on a plea by the SBI-led consortium seeking disgorgement of over ₹9,000 crore owed by Mallya's defunct carrier Kingfisher Airlines. The lenders alleged that Mallya had disobeyed court orders by making "vague and unclear disclosure of his assets" by transferring ₹40 million to his children/wards US citizens and sole beneficiaries of the trusts and also ignoring summonses to appear in court.

Airlines since 2013. The SC had questioned Mallya why he transferred the payout.

The top court had in June directed its registry to explain as to why Mallya's review petition had not been listed for the

last three years and also give names of the officials dealing with the matter. The SC had on May 10, 2017 held IIL Group chairman Vijay Mallya guilty of contempt of court, and asked him to appear before the court on July 10 that year. "We give him an opportunity to be present in court personally while deciding on quantum of punishment," the court ordered.

The order was then passed on a plea by the SBI-led consortium seeking disgorgement of over ₹9,000 crore owed by Mallya's defunct carrier Kingfisher Airlines. The lenders alleged that Mallya had disobeyed court orders by making "vague and unclear disclosure of his assets" by transferring ₹40 million to his children/wards US citizens and sole beneficiaries of the trusts and also ignoring summonses to appear in court.

Airlines since 2013. The SC had questioned Mallya why he transferred the payout.

The top court had in June directed its registry to explain as to why Mallya's review petition had not been listed for the

last three years and also give names of the officials dealing with the matter. The SC had on May 10, 2017 held IIL Group chairman Vijay Mallya guilty of contempt of court, and asked him to appear before the court on July 10 that year. "We give him an opportunity to be present in court personally while deciding on quantum of punishment," the court ordered.

The order was then passed on a plea by the SBI-led consortium seeking disgorgement of over ₹9,000 crore owed by Mallya's defunct carrier Kingfisher Airlines. The lenders alleged that Mallya had disobeyed court orders by making "vague and unclear disclosure of his assets" by transferring ₹40 million to his children/wards US citizens and sole beneficiaries of the trusts and also ignoring summonses to appear in court.

Airlines since 2013. The SC had questioned Mallya why he transferred the payout.

The top court had in June directed its registry to explain as to why Mallya's review petition had not been listed for the

last three years and also give names of the officials dealing with the matter. The SC had on May 10, 2017 held IIL Group chairman Vijay Mallya guilty of contempt of court, and asked him to appear before the court on July 10 that year. "We give him an opportunity to be present in court personally while deciding on quantum of punishment," the court ordered.

The order was then passed on a plea by the SBI-led consortium seeking disgorgement of over ₹9,000 crore owed by Mallya's defunct carrier Kingfisher Airlines. The lenders alleged that Mallya had disobeyed court orders by making "vague and unclear disclosure of his assets" by transferring ₹40 million to his children/wards US citizens and sole beneficiaries of the trusts and also ignoring summonses to appear in court.

Airlines since 2013. The SC had questioned Mallya why he transferred the payout.

The top court had in June directed its registry to explain as to why Mallya's review petition had not been listed for the

last three years and also give names of the officials dealing with the matter. The SC had on May 10, 2017 held IIL Group chairman Vijay Mallya guilty of contempt of court, and asked him to appear before the court on July 10 that year. "We give him an opportunity to be present in court personally while deciding on quantum of punishment," the court ordered.

The order was then passed on a plea by the SBI-led consortium seeking disgorgement of over ₹9,000 crore owed by Mallya's defunct carrier Kingfisher Airlines. The lenders alleged that Mallya had disobeyed court orders by making "vague and unclear disclosure of his assets" by transferring ₹40 million to his children/wards US citizens and sole beneficiaries of the trusts and also ignoring summonses to appear in court.

Airlines since 2013. The SC had questioned Mallya why he transferred the payout.



CIN: L31246GJ2001PLC041406
Website: www.torrentpower.com
E-mail: cs@torrentpower.com

EXTRACT OF STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL RESULTS FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2020

Particulars	(₹ in Crore except per share data)		
	Quarter ended 30.06.2020 Un-audited	Year ended 31.03.2020 Audited	Quarter ended 30.06.2019 Un-audited
Total income from operations	3,07,005	3,13,60,614	3,73,151
Net profit for the period before tax and exceptional items	467.82	1,17,134	319.87
Net profit for the period before tax and other exceptional items	467.82	474.81	319.87
Net profit for the period after tax and exceptional items	371.97	1,17,884	276.59
Total comprehensive income for the period (after tax and other exceptional items)	367.08	1,14,513	272.59
Equity share capital	480.62	480.62	480.62
Reserves (excluding revaluation reserve as shown in the balance sheet of previous year)		8,672.92	
Earnings per share (of ₹ 10/- each)		₹ 24.43	₹ 5.73
Basic(EPS)	7.75	24.43	5.73
Diluted EPS	7.75	24.43	5.73

EXTRACT OF THE STATEMENT OF STANDALONE FINANCIAL RESULTS

Particulars	(₹ in Crore)		
	Quarter ended 30.06.2020 Un-audited	Year ended 31.03.2020 Audited	Quarter ended 30.06.2019 Un-audited
Total income from operations	2,938.36	13,442.01	3,666.48
Net profit for the period before tax and exceptional items	459.46	1,545.90	316.90
Net profit for the period before tax and after exceptional items	459.46	515.90	316.90
Total comprehensive income for the period (Comprising Profit/Loss) for the period after tax and Other Comprehensive Income (after tax)	366.35	1,238.14	270.15
Net Profit/loss for the period after tax (After Exceptional Items)	19,935.93	1,10,813.70	22,76,831.25
Net Profit/loss for the period before tax and Other Comprehensive Income (before tax)	19,665.53	20,613.52	20,782.37
Net Profit/loss for the period before tax and Other Comprehensive Income (after tax)	19,935.93	20,613.52	20,782.37
Reserves (excluding Revaluation Reserve as shown in Balance Sheet)	-	6,13,541.53	-
Earnings per share (EPS)		-	43,217.25
a) Basic EPS	353	19.65	3.95
b) Diluted EPS	353	19.65	3.95
(Face value of ₹ 10/- each)		-	-

Note : 1) The above is an extract of the detailed format of Quarterly Financial Results filed with the Stock Exchanges under Regulation 33 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015. The full format of the Quarterly Financial Results is available on the Company's Website (<http://www.bseindia.com>) and Stock Exchange websites (<http://www.mseindia.com>).

2) The above results are reviewed by the Audit Committee approved by the Board of Directors of the Company meeting held on 6th August, 2020.

3) The above results are in accordance with the Indian Accounting Standards (IAS) as prescribed by the Ministry of Finance, Government of India. Accordingly, previous period figures have been restated/revised/reclassified wherever necessary.

For and on behalf of Gujarat State Petronet Limited

Anil Mehta, IAS
Chairman & Managing Director

BRAITHWAITE & CO. LIMITED
(A Govt. of India Undertaking)
5, Hide Road, Kolkata - 700 043

1. EOIN No: BCL/EOI/St/1/2020-21

Expression of Interest (EOI) is invited for engagement of vendors for Bridge Girder Fabrication & Other Fabrication Jobs at BCL's workshops as per EOI conditions

2. EOI No: BCL / PUR / EOI / CONTAINER/ 1/2020-21

Expression of Interest (EOI) is invited for engagement for Manufacturing and Testing of different types of Freight Cargo Containers as per EOI conditions at BCL works

Last date for submission of offers against above EOIs is 21 days from the date of publication (both days inclusive). Interested individuals may collect the EOI document from Purchase Deptt., Braithwaite & Co. Ltd, 5 Hide Road, Kolkata on working days from 10.00 hrs to 14.30 hrs. EOI document can also be downloaded from our website to www.braithwaiteindia.com and offer can be submitted as per tender conditions.

3. Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

4. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

5. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

6. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

7. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

8. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

9. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

10. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

11. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

12. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

13. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

14. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

15. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

16. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

17. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

18. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

19. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

20. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

21. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

22. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

23. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

24. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

25. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

26. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

27. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

28. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

29. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

30. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

31. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

32. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

33. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

34. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

35. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

36. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

37. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

38. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

39. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

40. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

41. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

42. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

43. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

44. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the bid evaluation criteria as per EOI conditions.

45. The Tenders will be evaluated based on the

